26

	RECEIVED		
1 2	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION COMMISSION SECRETARIAT		
3	In the Matter of ) ) 2001 JUL 16 P 1: 03		
4 5	MUR 5900 ) CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE		
6 7	COBURN FOR SENATE ) ENFORCEMENT PRIOR ENFORCEMEN		
8	,		
9	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT		
10	Under the Enforcement Priority System, complaint-generated matters involving		
l 1	issues that have been previously resolved through prior action taken by the Commission are		
12	deemed inappropriate for review by the Alternative Dispute Resolution Office and do not		
13	necessitate Enforcement action. Accordingly, these types of matters are forwarded to the		
14	nmission with a recommendation for dismissal.		
15	In this case, the complainant, the Executive Director of Citizens for Responsibility		
16	d Ethics in Washington ("CREW"), provided an Audit Report approved by the		
17	Commission on January 9, 2007 (see attached) as the basis for its complaint against the		
18	Coburn for Senate ("Committee"). The complainant alleged that, based on the Audit Report,		
19	the Committee violated the Federal Election Campaign Act by failing to itemize 18% of the		
20	contributions it received during the 2004 election cycle. Additionally, the Committee		
21	allegedly failed to file 48-Hour contribution notices on 202 last-minute contributions.		
22	The Committee responded by noting that the Audit Report and its findings did not		
23	require the Committee to further amend its reports, since it took corrective action prior to the		
24	eginning of the audit. Furthermore, the Committee paid an administrative fine related to the		
25	48-Hour notice violations in the amount of \$33,170.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although not alleged in the complaint, the Audit Report issued by the Commission noted that the Committee received \$22,100 in excessive contributions. The Audit Report also noted that the Committee refunded all the excessive contributions, but the refunds were not timely made.

Case Closure Under EPS – MUR 5900 General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 3

1 In this case the Committee filed amended reports substantially correcting its reporting 2 errors prior to the initiation of the audit. Furthermore, the Committee paid an administrative 3 fine related to its failure to timely file its 48-Hour notices. Thus, there are no remaining issues arising from the Audit Report warranting Commission Enforcement action.<sup>2</sup> 4 5 In light of the fact that the Committee resolved its reporting issues during the course 6 of the Audit and through the administrative fine process the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the 7 8 matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). **RECOMMENDATION** The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR 10 11 5900, close the file effective two weeks from the date of the Commission vote, and approve 12 the appropriate letters. Closing the case as of this date will allow CELA and General Law 13 and Advice the necessary time to prepare the closing letters and the case file for the public 14 record. Thomasenia P. Duncan 15 General Counsel 16 17 18 7/10/07 19 BY: 20 Special Counsel 21 22 Complaints Examination

& Legal Administration

23

24

8044

8

9 10 11

Attachments:

12 13 14 Jeff S. Jordan Supervisory Attorney Complaints Examination

& Legal Administration

Audit Report for Coburn for Senate Committee, dated January 9, 2007 Narrative in MUR 5900



# Report of the Audit Division on Coburn For Senate Committee

March 11, 2004 - December 31, 2004

# Why the Audit Was Done

Federal law permits the Commission to conduct audits and field investigations of any political committee that is required to file reports under the Federal Election Campaign Act (the Act). The Commission generally conducts such audits when a committee appears not to have met the threshold requirements for substantial compliance with the Act. The audit determines whether the committee complied with the limitations, prohibitions and disclosure requirements of the Act.

#### **Future Action**

The Commission may initiate an enforcement action, at a later time, with respect to any of the matters discussed in this report.

#### About the Campaign (p. 2)

Coburn for Senate Committee (CSC) is the principal campaign committee of Thomas A. Coburn, Republican candidate for the U.S. Senate from the state of Oklahoma and is headquartered in Muskogee, Oklahoma. For more information, see chart on the Campaign Organization, p.2.

#### Financial Activity (p. 2)

•	Recei	pts
---	-------	-----

	0	Individuals	\$ 3,898,597
	0	Political Party Committees	65,144
	0	Other Political Committees	793,488
	0	Transfer From Other Authorized	
		Committees	352,437
	0	Refunds/Rebates	2,722
	0	Total Receipts	\$ 5,112,387
•	Di	sbursements	
	0	Operating Expenditures	\$ 5,030,521
	0	Contribution Refunds	19,100
	0	Other Disbursements	7,500
	0	Total Disbursements	\$ 5,057,121
			-

#### Findings and Recommendations (p. 3)

- Itemization of Contributions from Individuals (Finding 1)
- Failure to File 48-Hour Notices (Finding 2)
- Receipt of Contributions that Exceed Limits (Finding 3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2 U.S.C. §438(b).

## **Table of Contents**

	Page
Part I. Background	•
Authority for Audit	1
Scope of Audit	1
Part II. Overview of Campaign	
Campaign Organization	2
Overview of Financial Activity	2
Part III. Summaries	·
Findings and Recommendations	3
Part IV. Findings, Recommendations, and	
Committee Response	
Finding 1. Itemization of Contributions from Individuals	4
Finding 2. Failure to File 48-Hour Notices	5
Finding 3. Receipt of Contributions that Exceed Limits	6

## Part I Background

#### **Authority for Audit**

This report is based on an audit of Coburn for Senate Committee (CSC), undertaken by the Audit Division of the Federal Election Commission (the Commission) in accordance with the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the Act). The Audit Division conducted the audit pursuant to 2 U.S.C. §438(b), which permits the Commission to conduct audits and field investigations of any political committee that is required to file a report under 2 U.S.C. §434. Prior to conducting any audit under this subsection, the Commission must perform an internal review of reports filed by selected committees to determine if the reports filed by a particular committee meet the threshold requirements for substantial compliance with the Act. 2 U.S.C. §438(b).

#### **Scope of Audit**

Following Commission approved procedures, the Audit staff evaluated various risk factors and as a result, this audit examined:

- 1. The receipt of excessive contributions and loans.
- 2. The receipt of contributions from prohibited sources.
- 3. The disclosure of contributions received.
- 4. The consistency between reported figures and bank records.
- 5. The completeness of records.
- 6. Other committee operations necessary to the review.

## Part II Overview of Campaign

## Campaign Organization

Important Dates	Coburn for Senate committee	
Date of Registration	March 16, 2004	
Audit Coverage	March 11, 2004 - December 31,2004	
Headquarters	Muskogee, Oklahoma	
Bank Information		
Bank Depositories	1	
Bank Accounts	1 Checking	
Treasurer		
Treasurer When Audit Was Conducted	Wade A. Stubbs	
Treasurer During Period Covered by Audit	Wade A. Stubbs	
Management Information		
Attended FEC Campaign Finance Seminar	No	
Used Commonly Available Campaign     Management Software Package	Yes	
Who Handled Accounting and Recordkeeping Tasks	Paid Staff	

# Overview of Financial Activity (Audited Amounts)

Cash on hand @ March 11, 2004	<b>\$0</b>
o Individuals	3,898,5979
o Political Party Committees	65,144
o Other Political Committees	793,488
o Transfers From Other Authorized	352,437
Committees	
o Refunds/Rebates	2,722
Total Receipts	\$5,112,387
o Operating Expenditures	5,030,521
o Contribution Refunds	19,100
o Other Disbursements	7,500
Total Disbursements	\$5,057,121
Cash on hand @ December 31, 2004	\$ 55,266

## Part III Summaries

### Findings and Recommendations

### Finding 1. Itemization of Contributions from Individuals

Contributions received from individuals were reviewed on a sample basis. The review indicated that approximately 18% of such contributions which aggregated in excess of \$200 were not itemized on CSC's reports. CSC filed amended reports which materially corrected the itemization errors. The Audit staff recommended that CSC submit any written comments it considers relevant. In response, CSC stated that it has instituted procedures to prevent similar issues in future reporting. (For more detail, see p. 4)

#### Finding 2. Failure to File 48-Hours Notices

CSC failed to file 48-hour notices for 202 contributions totaling \$349,100. The Audit staff recommended that CSC provide evidence that the 48-hour notices were timely filed or submit any written comments it considers relevant. In response, CSC stated that they have restructured their staff and procedures to ensure future compliance. (For more detail, see p. 5)

#### Finding 3. Receipt of Contributions that Exceeds Limits

CSC accepted contributions from political action committees that exceeded the limitation by \$22,100. All of the excessive contributions were refunded; however, the refunds were not timely. The Audit staff recommended that CSC submit any written comments it considers relevant. In response, CSC stated it has instituted procedures to ensure that excessive contributions are handled timely. (For more detail, see p. 6)

# Part IV Findings and Recommendations

#### Finding 1. Itemization of Contributions from Individuals

#### **Summary**

Contributions received from individuals were reviewed on a sample basis. The review indicated that approximately 18% of such contributions which aggregated in excess of \$200 were not itemized on CSC's reports. CSC filed amended reports which materially corrected the itemization errors. The Audit staff recommended that CSC submit any written comments it considers relevant. In response, CSC stated that it has instituted procedures to prevent similar issues in future reporting.

#### **Legal Standard**

When to Itemize. Authorized candidate committees must itemize:

- Any contribution from an individual if it exceeds \$200 per election cycle, either by itself or when aggregated with other contributions from the same contributor; and
- Every contribution from any political committee, regardless of the amount 2 U.S.C. §434(b)(3)(A), (B) and (D).

**Definition of Itemization.** Itemization of contributions received means that the recipient committee discloses, on a separate schedule, the following information:

- The amount of the contribution;
- The date of receipt (the date the committee received the contribution);
- The full name and address of the contributor;
- In the case of contributions from individual contributors, the contributor's occupation and the name of his or her employer; and
- Election cycle-to-date total of all contributions from the same contributor. 11 CFR §§100.12 and 104.3(a)(4) and 2 U.S.C. §434(b)(3)(A) and (B).

#### **Facts and Analysis**

Contributions received from individuals were reviewed on a sample basis. The review indicated that approximately 18% of the contributions which aggregated in excess of \$200 were not itemized on CSC reports. After CSC received notice of the audit, it filed amended reports. These amendments materially corrected the itemization errors.

This matter was discussed at the exit conference. CSC representatives stated it changed to a different software application during the campaign and much of the data was omitted by the input staff, thereby, affecting contributions requiring itemization.

Interim Audit Report Recommendation and Committee's Response The Audit staff recommended that CSC submit any written comment it considers relevant.

CSC stated that prior to the arrival of the Audit staff; the Committee conducted its own internal audit, then corrected and amended all reports. This was done to expedite the FEC audit process and in the interest of full disclosure, to correct the public record as soon as possible. Further, CSC instituted procedures to prevent similar issues in future reports.

#### Finding 2. Failure to File 48-Hour Notices

#### **Summary**

CSC failed to file 48-hour notices for 202 contributions totaling \$349,100. The Audit staff recommended that CSC provide evidence that the 48-hour notices were timely filed or submit any written comments it considers relevant. In response, CSC stated that they have restructured their staff and procedures to ensure future compliance.

#### Legal Standard

Last-Minute Contributions (48-Hour Notice). Campaign committees must file special notices regarding contributions of \$1,000 or more received less than 20 days but more than 48 hours before any election in which the candidate is running. This rule applies to all types of contributions to any authorized committee of the candidate. 11 CFR §104.5(f).

#### **Facts and Analysis**

The Audit staff reviewed contributions of \$1,000 or more that were received during the 48-hour notice filing periods for the primary and general elections. CSC failed to file 48-hour notices for 202 contributions totaling \$349,100; (13 contributions totaling \$29,500 during the primary election, and 189 contributions totaling \$319,600 during the general election). CSC indicated that the failure to file these 48-hour notices were an oversight due to inadequate staffing.

# Interim Audit Report Recommendation and Committee's Response The Audit staff recommended that CSC provide:

- documentation to demonstrate the contributions in question were properly included in 48-hour notices; or,
- documentation establishing the contributions were not subject to 48-hour notification; and/or,
- any written comments it considers relevant.

CSC acknowledged that it failed to file several 48-hour notices. CSC stated it understands the importance of the 48-hour notices and has restructured its staff and procedures to ensure future compliance in filing all reports.

### Finding 3. Receipt of Contributions that Exceed Limits

#### Summary

CSC accepted contributions from political action committees that exceeded the limitation by \$22,100. All of the excessive contributions were refunded; however, the refunds were not timely. The Audit staff recommended that CSC submit any written comments it considers relevant. In response, CSC stated it has instituted procedures to ensure that excessive contributions are handled timely.

#### Legal Standard

- A. Authorized Committee Limits: An authorized committee may not receive more than a total of \$2,000 per election from any one person or \$5,000 from a multi-candidate political committee per election. 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(1)(A), 11 CFR §§110.1(a) and (b) and 110.2(a) and (b).
- B. Handling Contributions That Appear Excessive. If a committee receives a contribution that appears to be excessive, the committee must either:
  - return the questionable contribution to the donor; or
  - deposit the contribution into its campaign depository and keep enough money on account to cover all potential refunds until the legality of the contribution is established. 11 CFR §103.3(b)(3) and (4).

#### **Facts and Analysis**

CSC accepted contributions from political action committees that exceeded the limitation by \$22,100. All of the excessive contributions were refunded; however, the refunds were not timely. CSC did not deposit the excessive portions into a separate account but did maintain sufficient funds to make the necessary refunds. This matter was discussed at the exit conference. In response, CSC reiterated that refunds were made but not within the required number of days.

Interim Audit Report Recommendation and Committee's Response The Audit staff recommended that CSC submit any written comments it considers relevant. CSC stated that it has instituted procedures to ensure that excessive contributions are handled timely.

36

Response filed: March 14, 2007

1 2 3 4 **MUR 5900** 5 6 Complainant: Melanie Sloan on behalf of 7 Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington 8 9 **Respondents:** Coburn for Senate Committee and 10 Wade A. Stubbs, as Treasurer 11 12 13 Allegations: The complainant, Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington ("CREW"), provided an Audit Report approved by the Commission on January 9, 2007 14 as the basis for its complaint against the Coburn for Senate ("Committee"). The 15 complainant alleged that, based on the Audit Report, the Committee violated the Federal 16 Election Campaign Act by failing to itemize 18% of the contributions it received during 17 the 2004 election cycle. Additionally, the Committee allegedly failed to file 48-Hour 18 19 contribution notices on 202 last-minute contributions. 20 21 Response: The Committee responded by noting that the Audit Report and its findings 22 did not require the Committee to further amend its reports, since it took corrective action 23 prior to the beginning of the audit. Furthermore, the Committee paid an administrative fine related to the 48-Hour notice violations in the amount of \$33.170. 24 25 26 General Counsel's Note: The complainant in this matter has merely attached the Audit Report and converted it into a complaint. 27 28 29 As noted in the response, the Committee filed its amended reports prior to the Audit and paid a substantial penalty 30 related to its 48-Hour notice violations. Thus, this Office recommends that the matter be 31 dismissed. 32 33 34 Date complaint filed: February 15, 2007 35